

TW

AD

## CHEMICAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY SPECIAL PUBLICATION

**ARCSL-SP-81009** 

# MULTIPLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING FROM A CLUSTER OF SPHERES

**VOLUME II. SYMMETRIZATION** 

by

O. I. Sindoni Chemical Systems Laboratory and

F. Borghese

P. Denti

G. Toscano

Universita di Messina, Istituto di Struttura della Materia, 98100 Messina, Italy

September 1981

SELECTE OCT 1 3 1981

B



00

US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND

Chemical Systems Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

190

81 10 9 120

#### Disclaimer

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

## Disposition

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO ARCSL-SP-81009  AD-A 106658	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
4. TITLE (and Substite) MULTIPLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING FROM A CLUSTER OF SPHERES. II. SYMMETRIZATION.	S. Type of REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Special Publication			
YOLUME	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7. AUTHOR(e)	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT HUMBER(-)			
O. I, Sindoni P. Denti*	DA-ERO 78-G-106			
F. Borghese* G. Toscano*				
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS			
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE			
Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R	September 1981			
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010	13. NUMBER OF PAGES			
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(IL dillorent from Controlling Office)	18. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED			
	184. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NA			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetrect entered in Block 20, if different in	en Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
Universita di Messina, Istituto di Struttura della Materia, 98100 Messina, Italy. Based on work supported by the US Army European Research Office through Grant DA-ERO 78-G-106.				
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)  Cluster of spheres Group theory  Electromagnetic scattering Symmetrization  Expansion of coefficients Truncation of multipolar expansions				
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Group theory is used to put the system of equipment of the electromagnetic field scatter in factorized form.	ations for the expansion of			

DD 1 JAN 79 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS GREELETE

#### **PREFACE**

The work in this report was authorized by the US Army European Research Office through Grant DA-ERO 78-G-108. This work was started in September 1980 and completed in March 1981.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commander/Director, Chemical Systems Laboratory, ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for United States Government purposes.

Accession For				
NTIS GRA&I				
DTIC TAB				
Unannounced 🔲				
Justification				
ļ		<del></del>		
By				
Distribution/				
Availability Codes				
	Avail and	/or		
Dist	Special			
•	1	į		
·		1		
П				

## CONTENTS

		Page
1	INTRODUCTION	7
2	SYMMETRY ADAPTED MULTIPOLAR EXPANSIONS OF THE FIELDS	8
3	EQUATIONS FOR THE COEFFICIENTS	11
4	DISCUSSION	13
	LITERATURE CITED	17
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	19

## MULTIPLE ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING FROM A CLUSTER OF SPHERES. VOLUME II. SYMMETRIZATION

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

in a preceding paper (hereafter referred to as Volume 1). we proposed a method to study the scattering properties of a cluster of spheres. Our approach, aimed to improve Rayleigh-Debye theory<sup>2,3</sup> for the scattering of electromagnetic waves from molecules, is quite general as it does not imply any restriction either on the effective index of refraction or on the size and geometry of the cluster. The only approximation required is the truncation of the multipolar expansions used to represent both the incident and the scattered waves as well as the field within the spheres. The expansion coefficients of the scattered wave are the solution of a system of linear nonhomogeneous equations whose order, for large clusters and for convergency reasons, may be rather high. If the cluster possesses symmetry properties, however, as is the case for actual molecules, group theory can be used to get the above system in factorized This is easily done by expanding both the incident and the scattered fields in terms of linear combinations of multipoles transforming according to the rows of the irreducible representations of the symmetry group. The symmetrization need not be applied to the field within the spheres for, as seen in section 4 of Volume I, the expansion coefficients of the internal field can be eliminated from the final equations.

Although the techniques we are going to use are well known in molecular physics, two fundamental differences should be borne in mind. First, the electric and magnetic multipoles with the same L have opposite parity so that they transform differently under improper rotations. Second, the inhomogeneities of the factorized systems depend on the row index of the multidimensional irreducible representations. As a consequence, we have to solve all the systems arising from the factorization procedure. It will become apparent, however, that these peculiarities of the parent problem do not cancel the undoubted advantages of group theoretical techniques.

#### 2. SYMMETRY ADAPTED MULTIPOLAR EXPANSIONS OF THE FIELDS

The system whose scattering properties to be studied is a cluster of N nonmagnetic spheres whose centers lie at  $R_\alpha$  and whose radii and (possibly complex) refractive indexes are  $b_\alpha$  and  $n_\alpha$ , respectively. The cluster is referred to a fixed system of axes with its origin at the center of symmetry, and the direction of incidence of the incoming plane wave determined by the direction cosines of its wave vector.

Let us assume that the cluster is left unchanged by the operations of a group G of order g. The effect of any of the operations is to permute, among themselves, the spheres in the cluster, but in general, not all the spheres are linked to each other by a group operation. Therefore, we partition the cluster in sets of spheres which are transformed into each other by the operations of G. We remark that this partitioning does not imply renumbering the spheres, but only that we associate to each site index,  $\alpha$ , the appropriate set index,  $\sigma$ . The transformation properties of the multipoles centered at the sites of the  $\sigma$ -th set are then easily found. Indeed, if f (kr) denotes a spherical Bessel or Hankel function, then

$$f_{L}(kr)\chi_{ML}(\hat{r}) = -f_{L}T_{LL}^{M}$$
(1a)

$$\nabla x f_{L}(kr) X_{LM}(\hat{r}) = -ik \left[ \sqrt{\frac{L+1}{2L+1}} f_{L+1} T_{LL+1}^{M} - \sqrt{\frac{L+1}{2L+1}} f_{L-1} T_{LL-1}^{M} \right]$$
 (1b)

where the vector spherical harmonics,  $X_{LM}$ , are defined according to Jackson<sup>6</sup> and the irreducible spherical tensors,  $T_{JL}^{M}$ , according to Rose<sup>5</sup>. The above equations show that both the magnetic, equation (1a) and the electric, equation (1b),  $2^{L}$ -poles transform under "proper" rotations according to the representation  $D^{(L)}$  of the full rotation group.<sup>7</sup> Accordingly, let S be an operation of G such that

with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in the same set, of course, and let  $0_s$  be the associated operator. If S is a "proper" rotation, then<sup>8</sup>

$$O_{\mathbf{g}}f_{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_{\alpha})\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{LM}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}) = f_{\mathbf{L}}(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}_{\beta})\sum_{\mathbf{M}}D_{\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}}^{(\mathbf{L})}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{LM}'}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta})$$
(2a)

$$0_{\mathbf{g}} \nabla x f_{\mathbf{L}}(k \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}) \chi_{\mathbf{LM}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\mathbf{M}} \nabla x f_{\mathbf{L}}(k \mathbf{r}_{\beta}) D_{\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}}^{(\mathbf{L})}(\mathbf{S}) \chi_{\mathbf{LM}'}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\beta}). \tag{2b}$$

If S is an "improper" rotation, however, the magnetic and electric  $2^L$ -poles have opposite parity, so that the right-hand side of equation (2a) must be multiplied by  $(-)^L$  and that of equation (2b) by  $(-)^{L+1}$ , while the argument of  $D_{MM}^{(L)}$  is to be understood as the proper, rotational part of S itself. Therefore, if the joint group G also includes improper rotations, to obtain the symmetrized combinations of multipoles belonging to the rows of the v-th irreducible representation of dimension  $g_{ij}$  we have to apply the projection operators 7,9,10

$$P_{pq}^{V} = \frac{g_{V}}{g} \sum_{g} D_{pq}^{(V)*}(S) O_{S}$$
 (3)

both to the magnetic and the electric 2L-poles. Accordingly we write

$$H_{NL}^{\nu\rho\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} \sum_{M \in N} a^{\nu\rho\alpha} h_{L}(kr_{\alpha}) \chi_{LM}(\hat{r}_{\alpha})$$
 (4a)

and

$$\tilde{K}^{\nu\rho\sigma} = \sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} \sum_{\bar{M} \in \mathbb{N}'} b^{\nu\rho\alpha} \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \nabla x h_{L}(kr_{\alpha}) \tilde{X}_{L\bar{M}}(\hat{r}_{\alpha})$$
(4b)

for the combinations of magnetic and electric  $2^L$ -poles centered at the sites of the  $\sigma$ -th set. The superscripts  $\nu$ , p indicate that the combination belongs to the p-th row of the  $\nu$ -th irreducible representation, and the index N recalls, when appropriate, that one can get more than a set of basis functions for a given L. Of course, if G includes only proper rotations  $b_{NLM}^{\nu p \alpha} \equiv a_{NLM}^{\nu p \alpha}$  and  $K_{NL}^{\nu p \alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{k} \nabla x H_{NL}^{\nu p \alpha}$ . The field scattered by the cluster, equation (3) of Volume I, can be written in symmetrized form as

$$\bar{E}_{\mu}^{S} = \sum_{n} \sum_{\alpha} \left[ \sum_{N} A_{nNL}^{n} + \sum_{N} B_{nNL}^{n} \bar{K}_{NL}^{n} \right]$$
 (5)

the corresponding expression for  $i\underline{B}_{\eta}^{S}$  being obtained through the Maxwell equation  $iB = \frac{1}{k} \nabla x E$ ; the index  $\eta = \pm 1$  according to the polarization. It is useful to notice since we work with unitary representations, as shown by the structure of the projection operators, equation (3) , the coefficients  $a_{NLM}^{\nu p \alpha}$  and  $b_{NLM}^{\nu p \alpha}$  have the property

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\mathbf{M}} (\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{NPM}}^{\mathsf{NPM}})^{\mathsf{M}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{NPM}}^{\mathsf{NPM}} = \delta_{\mathsf{NN'}} \quad , \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\mathbf{M}} (\mathbf{b}_{\mathsf{NPM}}^{\mathsf{NPM}})^{\mathsf{M}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathsf{NPM}}^{\mathsf{NPM}} = \delta_{\mathsf{NN'}}$$
 (6)

Now we have to decompose the incident field, equation (1) of Volume 1, into parts belonging to the rows of the irreducible representation of This is easily done for the projection operators, equation (3), has the completeness property7,9

$$\sum_{\nu_s p} P_{pp}^{\nu} = 1 \tag{7}$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\tilde{E}_{\eta}^{(i)} = \sum_{LM} W_{\eta LM}(\hat{k}) \sum_{V|D} P_{DD}^{V} \left[ j_{L}(kr) X_{LM}(\hat{r}) + \eta \frac{1}{k} \nabla x j_{L}(kr) X_{LM}(\hat{r}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{V|D} \sum_{LM} W_{\eta LM}(\hat{k}) \left[ J_{LM}^{VD} + \eta L_{LM}^{VD} \right], \qquad (8)$$

where 
$$W_{nLM}$$
 is defined by equation (2) of Volume I and 
$$J_{LM}^{vp} = \frac{g_{v}}{g} \sum_{s} D_{pp}^{(v)*}(s) \sum_{M'} D_{M'M}^{(L)}(s) j_{L}(kr) \chi_{LM'}(\hat{r})$$
$$= \sum_{k} C_{LMM'}^{vp} j_{L}(kr) \chi_{LM'}(\hat{r}) \qquad (9a)$$

$$L_{LM}^{vp} = \sum_{M'} d_{LMM'}^{vp} \frac{1}{k} \nabla x j_{L}(kr) \chi_{LM'}(\hat{r}). \tag{9b}$$

The analogous equation for  $iB_{\gamma_n}^{(i)}$  is easily obtained through the relation<sup>6</sup>

$$iB_{\eta}^{(i)} = \eta E_{\eta}^{(i)}$$
 (10)

Of course, even in this case, if G does not include "improper" rotations  $C_{LMM}^{VP} \equiv d_{LMM}^{VP}$  and  $L_{LM}^{VP} = \frac{1}{k} \nabla x J_{VP}^{VP}$ 

This completes the symmetrization procedure for, as noticed in Volume I, the internal field need not be symmetrized and is therefore given by equation (4) of Volume I, which is shown below for convenience

$$\underline{E}_{\eta}^{(e)\alpha} = \sum_{LM} \left[ C_{\eta_L M}^{\alpha} R_L^{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}) X_{LM}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{n_{\alpha}^2} D_{\eta_L M}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k} \nabla X S_L^{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}) X_{LM}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) \right]$$
(11a)

$$i\tilde{B}_{\eta}^{(t)\alpha} = \sum_{LM} \left[ D_{\eta LM}^{\alpha} S_{L}^{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}) \tilde{X}_{LM}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) + C_{\eta LM}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k} \nabla x R_{L}^{\alpha}(r_{\alpha}) \tilde{X}_{LM}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) \right]$$
(11b)

where  $R_L^{\alpha}$  and  $S_L^{\alpha}$  are still the solutions of equation (5) of Volume I.

## 3. EQUATIONS FOR THE COEFFICIENTS

The equations for the coefficients  $A_{\eta NL}^{\nu p \sigma}$  and  $B_{\eta NL}^{\nu p \sigma}$  of the scattered wave can now be easily found through the same procedure as used in Volume 1. First of all, we rewrite equations (5) and (8) in terms of multipoles centered at the single site  $R_{\sigma}$ , by means of the appropriate addition theorem<sup>11</sup>.

$$E_{\eta}^{(s)} = \sum_{\nu p \sigma} \sum_{L} \left\{ \sum_{N} A_{\eta N L}^{\nu p \sigma} \sum_{M \in N} a_{N L M}^{\nu p \alpha} h_{L}(k r_{\alpha}) \chi_{L M}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) + \sum_{N'} B_{\eta N' L}^{\nu p \sigma} \sum_{M \in N'} b_{N' L M}^{\nu p \sigma} \frac{1}{k} \nabla x h_{L}(k r_{\alpha}) \chi_{L M}(\hat{r}_{\alpha}) \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{T}} A_{\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{L}}^{\mathbf{VPT}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbf{T}} \sum_{\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{N}} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{N}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{VPB}} \sum_{\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{M}'} \left[ \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}}^{\alpha \boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L}'} \left( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \right) \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{M}'} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \right) + \chi_{\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{M}'\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}}^{\alpha \boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}} \nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L}'} \left( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \right) \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{M}'} \left( \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \right) \right]$$

$$+\sum_{\tau}\sum_{\mathbf{N'}}B_{\eta\mathbf{N'L}}^{\nu\rho\tau}\sum_{\beta\in\tau}\sum_{\bar{\mathbf{M}}\in\mathbf{N'}}b_{\mathbf{N'L}\bar{\mathbf{M}}}^{\nu\rho\beta}\left[\chi^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{L'M'LM}}\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L'}}(\mathbf{kr}_{\alpha})\chi_{\mathbf{L'M'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha})+H_{\mathbf{L'M'L}\bar{\mathbf{M}}}^{\alpha\beta}\frac{1}{k}\nabla\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L'}}(\mathbf{kr}_{\alpha})\chi_{\mathbf{L'M'}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha})\right]\right\}(12)$$

$$\underline{\underline{E}}_{\eta}^{(i)} = \sum_{LM} \ W_{\eta_{LM}}(\hat{\underline{k}}) \left\{ \sum_{vp} \sum_{M'} \underline{C}_{LMM'}^{vp} \sum_{L''M''} \left[ \underline{J}_{L''M''}^{\alpha} \ \underline{J}_{L''}(kr_{\alpha}) \underline{\underline{\chi}}_{L''M''} \left( \hat{\underline{r}}_{\alpha} \right) + \underline{L}_{L''M''LM'}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{k} \nabla_{\underline{x}} \underline{J}_{\underline{L}''}(kr_{\alpha}) \underline{\underline{\chi}}_{\underline{L}''M''} \left( \hat{\underline{r}}_{\alpha} \right) \right\}$$

$$+\sum_{\mathbf{VP}}\sum_{\mathbf{M'}}\operatorname{nd}_{\mathbf{LMM'}}^{\mathbf{VP}}\sum_{\mathbf{L''M''}}\left[L_{\mathbf{L''M''LM'}}^{\alpha}\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L''}}(\mathbf{kr}_{\alpha})\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{L''M''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha})+J_{\mathbf{L''M''LM'}}^{\alpha}\frac{1}{\mathbf{k}}\nabla\mathbf{x}\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{L''}}(\mathbf{kr}_{\alpha})\underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{L''M''}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha})\right]\right\}(13)$$

Analogous equations hold for  $iB_{\eta}^{(8)}$  and  $iB_{\eta}^{(i)}$  while the matrix elements of the dyadic Green's function  $H_{L'M'LM}^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $K_{L'M'LM}^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $J_{L'M'LM}^{\alpha}$  and  $L_{L'M'LM}^{\alpha}$  are defined by equation (8) of Volume I. Moreover, we recall that equations (6) and (7) are valid only for  $r_{\alpha} \leq R_{\alpha\beta} = \left| R_{\beta} - R_{\alpha} \right|$ ; i.e., near the

surface of the  $\alpha$ -th sphere. Now we proceed as in Volume I; i.e., we take the dot product of equations (11), (12), and (13) in turn with  $\hat{r}_{\alpha} \frac{V^*_{\alpha}(\hat{r}_{\alpha})}{2m}$ ,  $X^*_{\alpha}(\hat{r}_{\alpha})$  and  $\hat{r}_{\alpha} \times X^*_{\alpha}(\hat{r}_{\alpha})$  and get the radial and tangential components of the fields. Imposition of the boundary conditions and integration over the angles then yields, for each  $\nu$ , p,  $\alpha$ , six equations from which the coefficients of the internal fields,  $C^{\alpha}_{\eta lm}$  and  $D^{\alpha}_{\eta lm}$ , can easily be eliminated. This circumstance clarifies the inessentiality of the symmetrization of the internal fields, and yields, for each  $\nu$ , p,  $\alpha$ , two equations involving only the  $A^*$ s and  $B^*$ s as unknowns:

$$\sum_{\mathsf{T}}\sum_{\mathsf{B}\in\mathsf{T}}\sum_{\mathsf{L}}\left\{\sum_{\mathsf{N}}\sum_{\mathsf{M}\in\mathsf{N}}\left(\delta_{\mathsf{L}\,\mathsf{L}}\delta_{\mathsf{M}\mathsf{m}}\delta_{\mathsf{T}}\left[R_{\mathsf{L}}^{\mathsf{T}}\right]^{-1}+H_{\mathsf{L}\mathsf{m}\,\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}}^{\mathsf{\alpha}\mathsf{B}}\right)\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{N}\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}}^{\mathsf{N}\mathsf{P}\mathsf{D}}+\sum_{\mathsf{N}'}\sum_{\mathsf{M}\in\mathsf{N}'}K_{\mathsf{L}\mathsf{m}\,\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}}^{\mathsf{\alpha}\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{N}'\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}}^{\mathsf{N}\mathsf{P}\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{N}'\mathsf{L}\mathsf{M}}^{\mathsf{N}\mathsf{P}\mathsf{D}}\right\}$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ \eta c^{\nu p} L^{\alpha} + d^{\mu p} L^{\alpha} \right]$$

$$\sum_{\tau}\sum_{\beta\in\tau}\sum_{L}\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{N'}}\sum_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}\in\mathbf{N'}}\left(\delta_{\mathbf{L}}\delta_{\mathbf{Mm'}}\delta_{\tau}\left[S_{\mathbf{L}}^{\tau}\right]^{-1}+H_{\mathbf{L}\overline{\mathbf{M}}}^{\alpha\beta}\right)b_{\mathbf{N'}\mathbf{L}\overline{\mathbf{M}}}^{\nu\beta\delta}B_{\eta\mathbf{N'}L}^{\nu\beta\tau}+\sum_{\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\mathbf{M}\in\mathbf{N}}K_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{m}}^{\alpha\beta}a_{\mathbf{N}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{M}}^{\nu\beta\delta}A_{\eta\mathbf{N}L}^{\nu\beta\tau}\right\}$$

$$= -\sum_{LM} \sum_{M'} W_{nLM} (\hat{k}) \left[ c^{VP} L^{\alpha} + \eta d^{\mu p} J^{\alpha} \right]$$
(14b)

where the quantities  $R_{\ell}^{\alpha}$  and  $S_{\ell}^{\alpha}$  are defined by equation (10) of Volume I. Now, since the spheres within a set are identical to each other, the quantities  $R_{\ell}^{\alpha}$  and  $S_{\ell}^{\alpha}$  are actually independent of the site index,  $\alpha$ , but dependent on the set index,  $\sigma$ . This circumstance, together with the orthogonality relations, equation (6), allows putting equation (14) in a more symmetrical form. Indeed, multiplication of equation (14a) by ( $a_{\eta\ell m}^{\nu\rho\alpha}$ )\* and of equation (14b) by ( $b_{\eta\ell m}^{\nu\rho\alpha}$ )\* and summation over the  $\alpha$ 's belonging to and over m, yield, for each  $\nu$ , p,  $\tau$ , the equations

$$\sum_{\mathbf{S}} \left( \delta_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{S}} \left[ R_{\mathbf{S}} \right]^{-1} + H_{\mathbf{r}\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{V}} \left( \mathbf{m} \right) \right) A_{\mathbf{\eta}\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}} + \sum_{\mathbf{S}'} K_{\mathbf{T}\mathbf{S}'}^{\mathbf{V}} \left( \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{e} \right) B_{\mathbf{\eta}\mathbf{S}'}^{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}} = -P_{\mathbf{\eta}\mathbf{T}'}^{\mathbf{V}\mathbf{P}}$$
(15a)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s'}} \left( \delta_{\mathbf{r's'}} \left[ S_{\mathbf{s'}} \right]^{-1} + H_{\mathbf{r's'}}^{\mathsf{V}} (\mathbf{e}) \right) B_{\eta \mathbf{s'}}^{\mathsf{VP}} + \sum_{\mathbf{g}} K_{\mathbf{r's}}^{\mathsf{V}} (\mathbf{e}, \mathsf{m}) A_{\eta \mathbf{s}}^{\mathsf{VP}} = -0 \eta_{\mathbf{r'}}^{\mathsf{VP}}$$
(15b)

In equation (15) we put, for the sake of simplicity,  $r = (\sigma, \ell, n)$ ,  $s = (\tau, L, N)$   $r' = (\sigma, \ell, n')$ ,  $s' = (\tau, L, N')$  and define

$$H_{rs}^{V}(m) = \sum_{\alpha m} \sum_{\beta M} (a^{\nu p \alpha}) * H^{\alpha \beta} \sum_{n \in M} a^{\nu p \beta}$$

$$\text{NLM}$$
(16)

$$K^{\vee}(m,\bullet) = \sum_{\alpha m} \sum_{\beta M} (a^{\vee p\alpha}) * K^{\alpha\beta} b^{\vee p\beta}_{NLM}$$
(17)

with an obvious meaning of the parameters e,m. The quantities  $H^{\nu}_{rs}(e)$  and  $K^{\nu}_{rs}(e,m)$  are identical to  $H^{\nu}_{rs}(m)$  and  $K^{\nu}_{rs}(m,e)$ , respectively, but for the mutual exchange of the a's with the b's. Moreover

$$P^{\text{NP}} \sum_{\text{IM GM M' JLM}} \left( \hat{\underline{k}} \right) \left[ \text{NC}^{\text{NP}} L^{\alpha} + d^{\text{NP}} J^{\alpha} \right] \left( a^{\text{NP}\alpha} \right)^{*}$$
(18a)

$$Q_{\eta s'}^{\nu p} = \sum_{LM} \sum_{\alpha m} \sum_{M'} W_{\eta LM}(\hat{k}) \begin{bmatrix} c_{\nu p} & L_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \\ c_{\nu m LM'} & \ell_{m L M'} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\nu p \alpha} \\ \ell_{m \ell m} \end{pmatrix}^{*}$$
(18b)

We remark that on the left-hand side of equations (16) and (17) the superscripts p on  $B^{\nu}_{rs}$  and  $K^{\nu}_{rs}$  is missing. As will be shown later, these quantities are actually independent of the row index, p, which has accordingly been dropped. Anyway, the systems composed of the equation (15) with a given  $\nu$ , p for all values of  $\sigma$  solve completely our scattering problem. In fact, it was shown, in section 4 of Volume I how to compute the relevant properties of the cluster from the knowledge of  $A^{\alpha}_{\eta LM}$  and  $B^{\alpha}_{\eta LM}$ , the coefficients of the scattered field. Now, direct comparison of equation (5) with equation (3) of Volume I yields

$$A^{\alpha}_{\eta LM} = \sum_{\nu p} \sum_{N} A^{\nu p \sigma} a^{\nu p \alpha}, \quad B^{\alpha}_{\eta LM} = \sum_{\nu p} \sum_{N} B^{\nu p \sigma} b^{\nu p \alpha}$$
(19)

Therefore, all the properties of the cluster can also be expressed in terms of  $A_{\eta NL}^{\nu p}$  and  $B_{\eta NL}^{\nu p}$ , the coefficients of the symmetrized expansion.

## 4. DISCUSSION

The consequences of the factorization effected by group theory can be best discussed by writing the system with a given v, p, in matrix form:

$$\begin{vmatrix} R^{-1} + H^{\vee}(m) & K^{\vee}(m,e) \\ K^{\vee}(e,m) & S^{-1} + H^{\vee}(e) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} A^{\vee p} \\ B^{\vee p} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} P^{\vee p} \\ Q^{\vee p} \end{vmatrix}$$
 (20)

Since equation (20) has the same overall structure of equation (15) of Volume I, the matrix on its left-hand side is easily recognized as the inverse of the electromagnetic T-matrix for the whole cluster. 12 lack of spherical symmetry of the cluster does not permit getting a diagonal T-matrix, but equation (20) shows that group theory effects the decomposition of the whole scattering process into "modes of scattering" belonging to the irreducible representations of the symmetry group, G. Of course, the dependence on the row index of the inhomogeneity of equation (20) forces us to solve all the systems arising from the factorization procedure, while in the case of secular determinants one needs to solve only one system for irreducible representation. 9 However, as explicitly indicated by the omission of the superscript p, the T-matrix for the  $\nu$ -th mode of scattering does not depend on p, as we shall show presently. The p-independence of R and S follows from the very definition of their matrix elements, equation (10) of Volume I. These diagonal matrices occur even in the theory of scattering from a single sphere and are the electromagnetic analog of the transition matrix of quantum scattering The elements  $H_{rs}^{V}(m)$ ,  $H_{rs}^{V}(e)$ ,  $K_{rs}^{V}(m,e)$  and  $K_{rs}^{V}(e,m)$  of the matrices appearing in equation (20), are in turn the symmetrized counterparts of  $H_{\rm lmLM}^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $K_{\rm lmLM}^{\alpha\beta}$ , which, as shown in the appendix of Volume I, are the matrix elements, in the site and angular momentum representation, of G, the dyadic Green's function for free space propagation of spherical vector waves. The p-independence of  $H^{\nu}(m)$ ,  $H^{\nu}(e)$ ,  $K^{\nu}(m,e)$  and  $K^{\nu}(e,m)$  is then a direct consequence of the invariance of G under the symmetry operations. Therefore the whole T-matrix for the v-th model of scattering turns out to be independent of the row index, p. This circumstance greatly reduces the computational work in the case of multidimensional irreducible representations. Of course the size of the factorized systems depends not only on the number of spheres in the cluster and on the number of L-values included in the multipolar expansion of the scattered wave, but also on the structure of the symmetry group. Therefore the effect of the factorization can be illustrated only through examples. Table 1 shows the order of the systems to be solved for a cluster of 5 spheres with point group  $T_{d}$  the  $CH_L$  molecule and the  $SO_L^{++}$  ion have just this structure). Although

table 1 considers the case in which terms up to L = 4 are included in the multipolar expansions, from the discussion of section 6 of Volume I, we expect that terms, up to end including L = 3 will be quite sufficient to get fairly converged values for the scattered field, even if the  $n_{\alpha}$ 's are not close to unity. The usefulness of group theory requires no further comment.

Table 1.

L <sub>M</sub>	1	2	3	4
A <sub>1</sub>	1	2	6	10
A <sub>2</sub>	1	2	6	10
E	2	8	12	- 20
F <sub>1</sub>	4	10	19	30
F <sub>2</sub>	4	10	19	30
U	30	80	150	240

Dimension of the symmetrized and unsymmetrized systems for  $L_{\mu}$  up to 4 for a cluster of 5 spheres with joint group  $T_d$ . The entry U means "unsymmetrized" while the other entries indicate irreducible representations.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Borghese, F., Denti, P., Toscano, G. and Sindoni, O. I. ARCSL-SP-81008. Multiple Electromagnetic Scattering from a Cluster of Spheres. Volume 1. Theory. July 1981.
- 2. Lord Rayleigh. Phil. Mag. 12, 81 (1881); Proc. Roy. Soc. A84, 25 (1910); ibid. A90, 219 (1914); ibid. A94, 365 (1918).
  - 3. Debye P. Ann. Phys. 46, 809 (1915).
  - 4. Heine, V. Group Theory. Pergamon Press, Oxford. 1964.
  - 5. Rose, E. M. Multipole Fields. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1955.
- 6. Jackson, J. D. Classical Electrodynamics. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1975.
  - 7. Hamermesh, M. Group Theory. Addison-Wesley, Publishing Co., Reading, MA. 1962.
  - 8. Diamond, J. B. Chem. Phys. Letters. 20, 63 (1973).
- 9. Knox, R. and Gold, A. Group Theory in Solid State Physics. Benjamin Co. Inc., New York. 1964.
  - 10. Cornwell, J. F. Group Theory. Elsevier-North Holland Publishing Co., New York. 1969.
- 11. Borghese, F., Denti, P., Toscano, G. and Sindoni, O. I. J. Math. Phys., 21, 2754, (1980).
  - 12. Waterman, J. C. Phys. Rev. D3, 835 (1971).
- 13. Wu, T. Y. and Ohmura, T. Quantum Theory of Scattering. pp 15, 317. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 1962.
  - 14. Mie, G. Ann. Phys. <u>25</u>, 377 (1908).
  - 15. Debye, P. Ann. Phys. <u>30</u>, 57 (1909).

## DISTRIBUTION LIST 5

Names	Coples	Names	Coples
CHEMICAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY		DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLF	1	HQDA (DAMO-NCC)	1
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-R	2	WASH DC 20310	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-L	3		
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-M	1	Deputy Chief of Staff for Research,	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-P	1	Development & Acquisition	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLT-E	1	ATTN: DAMA-CSS-C	1
ATTN: DRDAR-CLN	1	ATTN: DAMA-ARZ-D	1
ATTN: DRDAR-CLN-D	1	Washington, DC 20310	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLN-S	3		
ATTN: DRDAR-CLN-ST	2	US Army Research and Standardization	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLW-C	1	Group (Europ⊕)	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-C	1	ATTN: DRXSN-E-SC	1
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-P	1	Box 65, FPO New York 09510	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-PA	1		
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-R	1	HQDA (DAMI-FIT)	1
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-T	1	WASH, DC 20310	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-TE	1		
ATTN: DRDAR-CLY-A	1	Commander	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLY-R	1	DARCOM, STITEUR	
ATTN: DRDAR-CLR-I	1	ATTN: DRXST-STI	1
		Box 48, APO New York 09710	
COPIES FOR AUTHOR(S):	_		
Research Division	4	Commander	
RECORD SET: ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-A	1	US Army Science & Technology Center- Far East Office	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		ATTN: MAJ Borges APO San Francisco 96328	1
Defense Technical Information Center			
ATTN: DTIC-DDA-2	12	Commander	
Cameron Station, Building 5		2d Infantry Division	
Alexandria, VA 22314		ATTN: EAIDCOM	1
		APO San Francisco 96224	
Director		_	
Defense intelligence Agency		Commander	
ATTN: DB-4G1	1	5th Infantry Division (Mech)	
Washington, DC 20301		ATTN: Division Chemical Officer Fort Polk, LA 71459	1
Special Agent In Charge			
ARO, 902d Military Intelligence GP		Commander	
ATTN: IAGPA-A-AN	1	US Army Nuclear & Chemical Agency	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		ATTN: MONA-WE (LTC Pelletier)	1
•		7500 Backlick Rd, Bldg 2073	
Commander		Springfield, VA 22150	
SED, HQ, INSCOM			
ATTN: IRFM-SED (Mr. Joubert)	1		
Fort Meade, MD 20755			

OFFICE OF THE SURGEON GENERAL		Director	
		US Army Material Systems Analysis Activit	ry
Commander		ATTN: DRXSY-MP	1
US Army Medical Bloengineering Research		ATTN: DRXSY-TN (Mr. Metz)	2
and Development Laboratory		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
ATTN: SGRD-UBD-AL	1		
Fort Detrick, Bidg 568		Commander	
Frederick, MD 21701		US Army Missile Commend	
•		Redstone Scientific Information Center	
Headquarters		ATTN: DRSMI-RPR (Documents)	1
US Army Medical Research and		Redstone Arsenai, AL 35809	
Development Command			
ATTN: SGRD-PL	1	Director	
Fort Detrick, MD 21701		DARCOM Field Safety Activity	
		ATTN: DRXOS-C	1
Commander		Charlestown, IN 47111	
USA Medical Research Institute of			
Chemical Defense		Commander	
ATTN: SGRD-UV-L	1	US Army Natick Research and	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010		Development Command	
<u>-</u>		ATTN: DRDNA-VR	1
US ARMY HEALTH SERVICE COMMAND		ATTN: DRONA-VT	1
		Natick, MA 01760	
Superintendent			
Academy of Health Sciences		US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND	
US Army		DEVELOPMENT COMMAND	
ATTN: HSA-CDH	1		
ATTN: HSA-IPM	1	Commander	
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234		US Army Armament Research and	
		Development Command	
US ARMY MATERIEL DEVELOPMENT AND		ATTN: DRDAR-LCA-L	1
READINESS COMMAND		ATTN: DRDAR-LCE	1
•		ATTN: DRDAR-LCE-C	1
Commander		ATTN: DRDAR-LCU	1
US Army Materiel Development and		ATTN: DRDAR-LCU-CE	1
Readiness Command		ATTN: DRDAR-PMA (G.R. Sacco)	1
ATTN: DRCLDC	1	ATTN: DRDAR-SCA-W	1
ATTN: DRCSF-P	1	ATTN: DRDAR-TSS	2
5001 Elsenhower Ave		ATTN: DRCPM-CAWS-AM	1
Alexandria, VA 22333		ATTN: DRCPM-CAWS-SI	1
		Dover, NJ 07801	
Project Manager Smoke/Obscurants			
ATTN: DRCPM-SMK	5	Director	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		Ballistic Research Laboratory ARRADCOM	
Commander		ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S	1
US Army Foreign Science & Technology Cer ATTN: DRXST-MT3	nter 1	Aberdeen Proving Ground, ND 21005	
220 Seventh St., NE	•		

Charlottesville, VA 22901

US ARMY ARMAMENT MATERIEL READINESS COMMAND		Commander US Army TRADOC System Analysis Activity
		ATTN: ATAA-SL 1
Commander		White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002
US Army Armament Materiel		•
Readiness Command		US ARMY TEST & EVALUATION COMMAND
ATTN: DRSAR-ASN	1	
ATTN: DRSAR-PDM	1	Commender
ATTN: DRSAR-SF	1	US Army Test & Evaluation Command
Rock Island, IL 61299		ATTN: DRSTE-CM-F 1
		ATTN: DRSTE-CT-T 1
Commander		Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005
US Army Dugway Proving Ground		
ATTN: Technical Library Docu Sect	1	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
Dugway, UT 84022		
		Commender
US ARMY TRAINING & DOCTRINE COMMAND		Neval Explosive Ordnance Disposal Facility ATTN: Army Chemical Officer (Code AC-3) 1
Commandant		indian Head, MD 20640
US Army Infantry School		
ATTN: NBC Division	1	Commander
Fort Benning, GA 31905		Naval Weapons Center
		ATTN: Technical Library (Code 343)
Commandant		China Lake, CA 93555
USAMP&CS/TC&FM	_	
ATTN: ATZN-CM-CDM	1	Commander Officer
Fort McClellan, AL 36205		Naval Weapons Support Center
		ATTN: Code 5042 (Dr. B.E. Douda) 1
Commander		Crane, IN 47522
US Army Infantry Center	_	
ATTN: ATSH-CD-MS-C	1	US MARINE CORPS
Fort Benning, GA 31905		
•		Director, Development Center
Commander		Marine Corps Development and
US Army Infantry Center		Education Command
Directorate of Plans & Training		ATTN: Fire Power Division 1
ATTN: ATZB-DPT-PO-NBC	1	Quantico, VA 22134
Fort Benning, GA 31905		A 2018 THE LAST THE A 18 FORAR
		DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
Commander		NO Females Technology Division (AFCO)
USA Training and Doctrine Command	•	HQ Foreign Technology Division (AFSC)
ATTN: ATCO-Z	1	ATTN: TQTR 1 Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
Fort Monroe, VA 23651		Wright-relierson Arb, On 43433
Commander		HQ AFLC/LOWMM 1
USA Combined Arms Center and Fort Leavenworth		Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
ATTN: ATZL-CA-COG	1	OUTSIDE AGENCIES
ATTN: ATZL-CAM-IM	1	
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027		Battelle, Columbus Laboratories
		ATTN: TACTEC 1
		505 King Avenue
		Columbus, OH 43201

Toxicology Information Center, WG 1008
National Research Council
2101 Constitution Ave., NM
Washington, DC 20418

ADDITIONAL ADDRESSEE

Commander
US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
ATTN: Librarian, Bidg 2100
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

Stimson Library (Documents)
Academy of Health Sciences
Bidg. 2840
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

